

## **Results of the IME ICC2 Voting of October and November 2005**

Below are the results of the completed voting process by the IME ICC2 participants from the Latin American and the Caribbean countries. The initial balloting took place October 19, 2004 through November 1, 2004. 95 representatives from 32 countries were eligible to vote. 26 individuals from 16 countries voted. The overall voting results by country are as follows:

Argentina: 5  
Barbados: 1  
Bahamas: 1  
Chile: 2  
Costa Rica: 1  
Guatemala: 1  
Honduras: 1  
Jamaica: 2  
Mexico: 2  
Panama: 2  
Peru: 2  
Puerto Rico: 2  
Trinidad & Tobago: 1  
Uruguay: 1  
United States: 1  
Venezuela: 1

A follow-up ballot was sent out in November regarding Question 10 that dealt with uniform titles and those results are noted below. The next step is to send the recommended changes from IME ICC2 to the participants of the IME ICC. The results of that voting will be shared in January 2005 for further discussion as needed. It is expected that consensus can be reached on the next version of the draft Statement of International Cataloguing Principles by February 2005.

### **Text of Voting Results - IME ICC2, Buenos Aires 2004**

(The Question number follows that used on the IME ICC2 Web ballot, please note there is no Question 2.) "Agreed text," indicates the wording agreed by the IME ICC2 participants.

**Question 1:** Following Section 3.1.2, agreed to insert "and cataloguing practices" as shown below:

25 yes votes  
1 no vote

The agreed text is:

3. Functions of the Catalogue

The functions of the catalogue are to enable a user

3.1 to find bibliographic resources in a collection (real or virtual) as the result of a search using attributes or relationships of the resources:

- 3.1.1. to locate a single resource
- 3.1.2. to locate sets of resources representing
  - \* all resources belonging to the same work
  - \* all resources belonging to the same expression
  - \* all resources belonging to the same manifestation
  - \* all works and expressions of a given person, family, or corporate body
  - \* all resources on a given subject
  - \* all resources defined by other criteria (such as language, country of publication, publication date, physical format, etc.) usually as a secondary limiting of a search result.

It is recognized that, due to economic restraints and cataloguing practices, some library catalogues will lack bibliographic records for components of works or individual works within works.

**Question 2:** [no change]

**Question 3:** Under choice of access points for corporate bodies, the vote indicated preference to retain "as creators" to make it clear we are talking about corporate bodies as authors (main entries) in current terminology. The additional clarification was to change "this" to "access by corporate name" as shown in red in the approved text.

11 yes votes to remove "as creators"

15 no votes to retain "as creators"

The agreed text is:

5.1.1. Choice of access points

5.1.1.1. Include as access points to a bibliographic record the titles of works and expressions (controlled) and titles of manifestations (usually uncontrolled) and the controlled forms of names of the creators of works.

In the case of corporate bodies as creators, **access by corporate name** is limited to works that are by their nature necessarily the expression of the collective thought or activity of the corporate body, even if signed by a person in the capacity of an officer or servant of the corporate body, or when the wording of the title, taken in conjunction with the nature of the work, clearly implies that the corporate body is collectively responsible for the content of the work.

Additionally provide access points to bibliographic records for the controlled forms of names of other persons, families, corporate bodies, and subjects deemed to be important for finding, identifying, and selecting the bibliographic resource being described.

**Questions 4-6:** This series of questions offered alternative wordings regarding personal names, and the majority favored eliminating most of the former 5.2.1 and 5.2.2 and rewording 5.2.1 and 5.2.3 as shown here.

Question 6

21 yes votes and

5 no votes

The agreed text is:

## 5.2. Forms of Names for Persons

5.2.1. When the name of a person consists of several words, the choice of entry word should follow conventions of the country and language most associated with that person, as found in manifestations or general reference sources.

**Question 7-8:** This series of questions offered alternative wordings regarding family names, and the majority favored rewording former 5.3.1 and 5.3.2 as shown here.

Question 8

21 yes votes

5 no votes

The agreed text is:

5.3.1. When the name of a family consists of several words, the choice of entry word should follow conventions of the country and language most associated with that family, as found in manifestations or general reference sources.

**Question 9:** This added the principle 5.4.1. to give the corporate name in direct order, as commonly found on manifestations.

24 yes votes

1 no vote

1 abstention

The agreed text is:

## 5.4. Forms of Names for Corporate Bodies

5.4.1. The corporate name should be given in direct order, as commonly found on manifestations.

5.4.2. For jurisdictions, the authorized heading should include the currently used form of the name of the territory concerned in the language and script best suited to the needs of the users of the catalogue.

5.4.3. If the corporate body has used in successive periods different names that cannot be regarded as minor variations of one name, each significant name change should be considered a new entity and the corresponding authority records for each entity should be linked by see-also (earlier/later) references.

**Question 10:** Under the section 5.5.1 on uniform titles, the recommendation was made to add the requirement to "Always add language and date" to uniform titles.

The original vote was:

20 yes votes (to add the rule)

6 no votes

**The November voting adjusted that tally to:**

18 yes votes

8 no votes

The recommendation still stands as agreed by IME ICC2.

The agreed text is:

5.5.1. The uniform title should be the original title or the title most frequently found in manifestations of the work. Under certain defined circumstances, a commonly used title in the language and script of the catalogue may be preferred to the original title as the basis for the authorized heading. **Always add language and date.**

**Question 11:** Under Section 6.2, the recommendation was to change the Latin word "persona" to the word "entity." This also moved this principle up to 5.1.2.1.

The vote was:

24 yes votes

2 no votes

The agreed text is:

5.1.2.1 (formerly 6.2). If a person, family, or a corporate body uses variant names or variant forms of names, one name or one form of name should be chosen as the authorized heading for each distinct **entity**. If there are variant titles for one work, one title should be chosen as uniform title.

**Question 12:** There was unanimous agreement to fix the typographical error as shown corrected below.

The approved text is:

7.1. Search and Retrieval

Access points are the elements of bibliographic records that 1) provide reliable retrieval of bibliographic and authority records and their associated bibliographic resources and 2) limit search results.

**Question 13:** A final addition was to add a footnote to cite the original Paris Principles at the end of the first sentence of the Statement's Introduction. This is missing from the December 19, 2003 Frankfurt draft version, but should have been included.

It was agreed by all of the November 2004 respondents

It would look like the following:

The Statement of Principles - commonly known as the "Paris Principles" - was approved by the International Conference on Cataloguing Principles in 1961 [1]. ...

[1] International Conference on Cataloguing Principles (Paris : 1961). Report. - London : International Federation of Library Associations, 1963, p. 91-96. Also available in: Library Resources and Technical Services, v.6 (1962), p. 162-167; and Statement of principles adopted at the International Conference on Cataloguing Principles, Paris, October, 1961. - Annotated edition / with commentary and examples by Eva Verona. - London : IFLA Committee on Cataloguing, 1971.